



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,539	03/15/2005	Karin Butz	085449-0158	3633
22428	7590	05/19/2006	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			GODDARD, LAURA B	
SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3000 K STREET NW			1642	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/519,539	BUTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura B. Goddard, Ph.D.	1642	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2004.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 22-57 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) 22-57 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Election/Restrictions*

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 22-24, 32, 34-41, 54, drawn to the special technical feature of a peptide, a fragment or derivative thereof, which sensitizes cells for apoptosis comprising an amino acid sequence, a medicament and kit comprising said peptide, and a method for sensitizing a cell for apoptosis using said peptide.

**Additionally, Applicants must elect a single peptide SEQ ID NO [SEQ ID NO: 1-132], as each peptide sequence presents a structurally and functionally *distinct* invention not a species.**

Group II, claim(s) 25-30, 42-47, 55-57, drawn to the special technical feature of a nucleic acid coding for a peptide as defined in claim 22, a medicament and kit comprising said nucleic acid.

**Additionally, Applicants must elect a single nucleic acid that encodes a peptide SEQ ID NO of claim 22 [SEQ ID NO: 1-132], as each nucleic acid presents a structurally and functionally *distinct* invention not a species.**

Art Unit: 1642

Group III, claim(s) 31, drawn to the special technical feature of an **antibody** that immunospecifically binds to a peptide defined in claim 22.

**Additionally, Applicants must elect a antibody that immunospecifically binds a peptide SEQ ID NO of claim 22 [SEQ ID NO: 1-132], as each antibody presents a structurally and functionally *distinct* invention not a species.**

Group IV, claim(s) 33, drawn to the special technical feature of sensitizing a cell for apoptosis by using a nucleic acid of claim 25.

**Additionally, Applicants must elect a single nucleic acid that encodes a peptide SEQ ID NO of claim 22 [SEQ ID NO: 1-132], as each nucleic acid presents a structurally and functionally *distinct* invention not a species.**

Group V, claim(s) 48 and 49, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for treatment of cancer comprising administering a medicament comprising a peptide of claim 22.

**Additionally, Applicants must elect a single peptide SEQ ID NO [SEQ ID NO: 1-132] from claim 22, as each peptide sequence presents a structurally and functionally *distinct* invention not a species.**

Group VI, claim(s) 50-53, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for treatment of cancer comprising administering a medicament comprising a nucleic acid of claim 25.

**Additionally, Applicants must elect a single nucleic acid that encodes a peptide SEQ ID NO of claim 22 [SEQ ID NO: 1-132], as each nucleic acid presents a structurally and functionally *distinct* invention not a species.**

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I-VI encompass different special technical features identified in the groupings above. The inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because under unity of invention between different categories of inventions, unity of invention will only be found to exist if specific combinations of inventions are present.

A national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. When claims to different categories are present in the application, the claims will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories: (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process. The allowed combinations do not include multiple products (antibodies, nucleic acids, polypeptides), and multiple methods of using said products, as claimed in the instant application. The products themselves do not share significant structural elements to the extent that each member could be substituted, one for the

Art Unit: 1642

other, with the expectation that the same intended results would be achieved. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application is considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT article 17(3) (a) and 1.476 (c), 37 C.F.R. 1.475(b) and (d). Group I is the main invention. After that, all other products and methods are broken out as separate groups (see 37 CFR 1.475(d)).

In the instant case, the first invention of the first category mentioned consists of the special technical feature of a peptide, a fragment or derivative thereof, which sensitizes cells for apoptosis comprising an amino acid sequence, and the first recited invention of a method for sensitizing a cell for apoptosis using said peptide. Therefore, Group I is considered the "main invention" and the remaining products and methods have been properly restricted into separate groups.

Note:

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112.

Art Unit: 1642

Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## SPECIES ELECTION

### Species election for Group I

**Applicant must elect a species from A and B below:**

**A.** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of cancer cells are as follows (claim 37 and 40): neuroblastoma, intestine carcinoma preferably rectum carcinoma, colon carcinoma,.....plasmocytoma.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The cancer cell types have different etiologies, different structures, and different functions, all of which distinguish them as distinct tissues or cancer cell types.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 32. **Claim 38 will be examined as drawn to the elected species of cancer.**

**B.** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of active compounds are as follows (claim 41): (i) antimetabolites, (ii) DNA fragments, (iii) DNA-crosslinking agents, .....(xii) hormone antagonists.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the active compounds have different structures and different functions.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 39.

#### **Species election for Group II**

**Applicant must elect a species from C and D below:**

**C.** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of cancer cells are as follows (claims 43 and 46): neuroblastoma, intestine carcinoma preferably rectum carcinoma, colon carcinoma,.....plasmocytoma.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The cancer cell types

Art Unit: 1642

have different etiologies, different structures, and different functions, all of which distinguish them as distinct tissues or cancer cell types.

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 42 and 45.

**D.** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of active compounds are as follows (claims 44 and 47): (i) antimetabolites, (ii) DNA-fragments, (iii) DNA-crosslinking agents, .....(xii) hormone antagonists.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the active compounds have different structures and different functions.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 42 and 45.

#### **Species election for Groups V and VI**

**Applicant must elect a species from E below:**

**E.** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of cancer cells are as follows (claims 49, 51, 53): neuroblastoma, intestine carcinoma preferably rectum carcinoma, colon carcinoma,.....plasmocytoma.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The cancer cell types have different etiologies, different structures, and different functions, all of which distinguish them as distinct tissues or cancer cell types.

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 48 (Group V); 50, 52 (Group VI).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Since the decisions in *In re Weber*, 580 F.2d 455, 198 USPQ 328 (CCPA 1978) and *In re Haas*, 580 F.2d 461, 198 USPQ 334 (CCPA 1978), it is improper for the Office to refuse to examine that which applicants regard as their invention, unless the subject

Art Unit: 1642

matter in a claim lacks unity of invention. *In re Hamisch*, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300

(CCPA 1980); and *Ex parte Hozumi*, 3 USPQ2d 1059 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1984).

Broadly, unity of invention exists where compounds included within a Markush group (1) share a common utility, and (2) share a substantial structural feature essential to that utility.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura B. Goddard, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-8788. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura B Goddard, Ph.D.  
Examiner  
Art Unit: 1642

  
JEFFREY SIEW  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER